

Exercises CFT-course fall 2008, set 12.

1. Derive the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation

$$\left(\partial_{z_i} - \frac{1}{k+g^\vee} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\sum_a t_{\lambda_i}^a \otimes t_{\lambda_j}^a}{z_i - z_j}\right) \langle \phi_{\lambda_1}(z_1, \bar{z}_1) \cdots \phi_{\lambda_N}(z_N, \bar{z}_N) \rangle = 0$$

from the null vector $(L_{-1} - \frac{\sum_a J_{-1}^a t_{\lambda}^a}{k+g^\vee}) \phi_{\lambda} = 0$.

2. Solving the Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov equation.

In this exercise, we will solve the KZ equation in the case of $su(2)_k$ ($su(n)_k$ is not much more complicated), for the primary fields ϕ_{λ} , where $\lambda = \omega_1$, i.e. the fundamental representation.

We define $z_{ij} = (z_i - z_j)$, and write the correlator as

$$\mathcal{G}(\{z_i\}, \{\bar{z}_i\}) = \langle \phi_{\lambda}(z_1, \bar{z}_1) \phi^{\lambda}(z_2, \bar{z}_2) \phi^{\lambda}(z_3, \bar{z}_3) \phi_{\lambda}(z_4, \bar{z}_4) \rangle = (z_{14} z_{32} \bar{z}_{14} \bar{z}_{32})^{-2h} \mathcal{G}(x, \bar{x}),$$

where $h = h_{\omega_1} = \frac{(\omega_1, \omega_1 + 2\rho)}{2(k+2)} = \frac{3}{4(k+2)}$. The meaning of the notation ϕ^{λ} is that this field corresponds to the conjugate representation ω_1^* (which gives an additional sign when the t 's act on it). We will use the definition $x = \frac{z_{12} z_{34}}{z_{14} z_{32}}$.

As usual, the correlator decomposes into holomorphic and anti-holomorphic parts, which we denote by $\mathcal{F}(x)$ and $\bar{\mathcal{F}}(\bar{x})$. In addition, it decomposes into (in general) two $su(2)$ invariant pieces, so we have $\mathcal{F}(x) = I_1 F_1(x) + I_2 F_2(x)$, where $I_1 = \delta_{m_1, m_2} \delta_{m_3, m_4}$ and $I_2 = \delta_{m_1, m_3} \delta_{m_2, m_4}$. Here, the m_i refer to the components of the representation of the field at z_i .

Finally, after introducing this notation, the chiral part of the KZ equations read

$$\left(\partial_{z_i} - \frac{1}{k+2} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{\sum_a t_i^a \otimes t_j^a}{z_{ij}}\right) (z_{14} z_{32})^{-2h} (I_1 F_1(x) + I_2 F_2(x)) = 0$$

- a. Show that the equation for $i = 1$ reads

$$\left[\left(\frac{-2h}{z_{14}} \right) + \left(\frac{x}{z_{12}} - \frac{x}{z_{14}} \right) \partial_x - \frac{1}{k+2} \left(\frac{t_1^a \otimes t_2^a}{z_{12}} + \frac{t_1^a \otimes t_3^a}{z_{13}} + \frac{t_1^a \otimes t_4^a}{z_{14}} \right) \right] (I_1 F_1(x) + I_2 F_2(x)) = 0$$

and find the three other equations as well.

We will now concentrate on the action of the t 's on the I_1 and I_2 . In the fundamental representation of $su(2)$, one has $\sum_a t_{ij}^a t_{kl}^a = \delta_{il} \delta_{jk} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij} \delta_{kl}$, from which the value of the quadratic Casimir follows $\sum_a (t^a t^a)_{ij} = \frac{3}{2} \delta_{ij}$.

- b. Calculate the relevant products $\sum_a t_1^a \otimes t_2^a I_1$ etc. and express the result in I_1 and I_2 . Remember that the t 's act from the right, and with an additional sign, in the case of the conjugate representations, i.e. $\sum_a t_1^a \otimes t_2^a I_1 = -\sum_{a, m'_1, m'_2} t_{m_1, m'_1}^a t_{m'_2, m_2}^a \delta_{m'_1, m'_2} \delta_{m_3, m_4} = -\sum_a (t^a t^a)_{m_1, m_2} \delta_{m_3, m_4}$ etc.

- c. Show, by taking a suitable linear combination of the equations, that one ends up with the following coupled equations for F_1 and F_2

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_x F_1 &= \frac{-1}{2(k+2)} \left(\frac{3F_1 + 2F_2}{x} + \frac{F_1}{1-x} \right) \\ \partial_x F_2 &= \frac{1}{2(k+2)} \left(\frac{F_2}{x} + \frac{2F_1 + 3F_2}{1-x} \right)\end{aligned}$$

- d. Decouple the equations, and show that they can be brought into the form of the hypergeometric equation $x(1-x)\partial_x^2 f(x) + (c - (a+b+1)x)\partial_x f(x) - abf(x) = 0$. The resulting solutions are

$$\begin{aligned}F_1^{(0)}(x) &= x^{-2h}(1-x)^{h_\theta-2h} f\left(\frac{1}{k+2}, -\frac{1}{k+2}, 1 - \frac{2}{k+2}; x\right) \\ F_2^{(0)}(x) &= \frac{1}{k} x^{1-2h}(1-x)^{h_\theta-2h} f\left(1 + \frac{1}{k+2}, 1 - \frac{1}{k+2}, 2 - \frac{2}{k+2}; x\right)\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}F_1^{(1)}(x) &= x^{h_\theta-2h}(1-x)^{h_\theta-2h} f\left(\frac{1}{k+2}, \frac{3}{k+2}, 1 + \frac{2}{k+2}; x\right) \\ F_2^{(1)}(x) &= -2x^{h_\theta-2h}(1-x)^{h_\theta-2h} f\left(\frac{1}{k+2}, \frac{3}{k+2}, \frac{2}{k+2}; x\right)\end{aligned}$$

where $h_\theta = \frac{(\theta, \theta+2\rho)}{2(k+2)}$, and a convenient normalization is chosen.

- e. Which of these solutions form the two different conformal blocks?